

AD-A107 694

PRC CONSOER TOWNSEND INC ST LOUIS MO

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. BEQUETTE DAM NO NAME 262 (MO 30461--ETC(U)


JAN 79 W O SHIFRIN

DACW43-78-C-0160

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 04 |  
AD  
000000



END  
DATE  
FEB 82  
DTIC



MISSISSIPPI - KASKASKIA - ST. LOUIS BASIN

**LEVEL**

NO NAME 262 DAM

JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

MO 30461

AD A107694

**PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM**



**DTIC  
ELECTE  
NOV 20 1981**  
**S D**  
**D**

PREPARED BY: U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS

FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI

JANUARY 1979

81 11 19 008

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**

Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. <b>AD-A107694</b>	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Dam Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program Bequette Dam - No Name 262 (MO 30461) Jefferson County, Missouri		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report
7. AUTHOR(s) Consoer, Townsend and Associates, Ltd.		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)  DACW43-78-C-0160
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE January 1979
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES Approximately 70
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Dam Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection, Private Dams		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety, based on available data and on visual inspection, to determine if the dam poses hazards to human life or property.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
210 NORTH 12TH STREET  
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

IN REPLY REFER TO

SUBJECT: No Name 262 Dam (Mo. 30461), Phase I Inspection Report

This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of No Name 262 Dam (Mo. 30461).

It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

The St. Louis District has classified this dam as unsafe because of excessively steep downstream embankment slopes as evidenced by slumping material, prevalence of seepage, and the size and extent of trees growing on the embankment.

SUBMITTED BY:

SIGNED

Chief, Engineering Division

12 MAR 1977

(Date)

APPROVED BY:

SIGNED

Colonel, CE, District Engineer

12 MAR 1977

(Date)

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

DTIC  
ELECT  
NOV 20 1981  
S D

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam: No Name 262 Dam, Missouri Inv. No. 30461  
State Located: Missouri  
County Located: Jefferson  
Stream: Unnamed Tributary of Isum Creek  
Date of Inspection: October 1 and 3, 1978

Assessment of General Condition

No Name 262 Dam No. Mo. 30461 was inspected using the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams". These guidelines were developed by the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army, Washington, D.C., with the help of Federal and State agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers. The resulting guidelines are considered to represent a consensus of the engineering profession.


Based on criteria in the guidelines, the dam is in the high hazard potential classification, which means that loss of life and appreciable property loss could occur in the event of failure of the dam. Four houses and three private road crossings would be subjected to flooding, with possible damage and/or destruction, and possible loss of life. No Name 262 Dam is in the small size classification since it is less than 40 feet high and impounds less than 1,000 acre-feet of water.

Our inspection and evaluation indicates that the spillway of No Name 262 Dam meets the criteria set forth in the guidelines for a dam having the above size and hazard potential. No Name 262 Dam is a small size dam with a high hazard potential required by the guidelines to pass from one-half Probable Maximum Flood to the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping. It was determined that the spillway will pass the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping the dam. Our evaluation indicates that the spillway will pass the 100-year flood; that is, a flood having a 1 percent chance of being equalled or exceeded during any given year.

The Probable Maximum Flood is defined as the flood discharge that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorological and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the region.

Deficiencies noted by the inspection team were a need for periodic inspection by a qualified professional engineer; lack of a maintenance schedule; a need for a complete seepage and stability analyses of the dam embankment; brush and tree growth on the embankment crest and side slopes; and heavy vegetative growth on the spillway crests.

It is recommended that the owner take action to correct or control the deficiencies described above.

  
Walter G. Shifrin, P.E.



NONAME 262 DAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

No Name 262 Dam, I.D. No. 30461

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Sect. No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
SECTION 1	PROJECT INFORMATION . . . . .	1
	1.1 General . . . . .	1
	1.2 Description of Project . . . . .	3
	1.3 Pertinent Data . . . . .	7
SECTION 2	ENGINEERING DATA . . . . .	9
	2.1 Design . . . . .	9
	2.2 Construction . . . . .	9
	2.3 Operation . . . . .	10
	2.4 Evaluation . . . . .	10
SECTION 3	VISUAL INSPECTION . . . . .	12
	3.1 Findings . . . . .	12
	3.2 Evaluation . . . . .	15
SECTION 4	OPERATION PROECEDURES . . . . .	16
	4.1 Procedures . . . . .	16
	4.2 Maintenance of Dam . . . . .	16
	4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities . . . . .	16
	4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect . . . . .	17
	4.5 Evaluation . . . . .	17
SECTION 5	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC . . . . .	18
	5.1 Evaluation of Features . . . . .	18



TABLE OF CONTENTS  
(Continued)

<u>Sect. No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
SECTION 6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY . . . . .	21
	6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability . . . . .	21
SECTION 7	ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES . . . . .	23
	7.1 Dam Assessment . . . . .	23
	7.2 Remedial Measures . . . . .	25

LIST OF PLATES

	<u>Plate No.</u>
LOCATION MAP . . . . .	1
RELATIVE ELEVATIONS OF DAM . . . . .	2
GENERAL GEOLOGIC MAP . . . . .	3

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	-	PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN DURING INSPECTION
APPENDIX B	-	HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

NO NAME 262 DAM, Missouri Inv. No. 30461

SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority

The Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367 of August, 1972, authorizes the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspections. Inspection for the No Name 262 Dam was carried out under Contract DACW 43-78-C-0160 to the Department of the Army, St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, by the engineering firms of Consoer, Townsend & Associates Ltd., and Engineering Consultants, Inc. (A Joint Venture), of St. Louis, Missouri.

b. Purpose of Inspection

The visual inspection of the No Name 262 Dam was made on October 1, and October 3, 1978. The purpose of the inspection was to make a general assessment as to the structural integrity and operational adequacy of the dam embankment and its appurtenant structures.

c. Scope of Report

This report summarizes available pertinent data relating to the project; presents a summary of visual observations made during the field inspection; presents an evaluation of hydrologic and hydraulic conditions at the site; presents an evaluation as to the structural adequacy of the various project features; and assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety.

It should be noted that reference in this report to left or right abutments is as viewed looking downstream. Where left abutment or left side of the dam is used in this report, this also refers to west abutment or side, and right to the east abutment or side.

d. Evaluation Criteria

Criteria used to evaluate the dam were furnished by the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, in "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", Appendix D. These guidelines were developed with the help of several Federal agencies and many State agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers.

## 1.2 Description of Project

### a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

It should be noted that design drawings are not available for the dam or appurtenant structures. The following description is based exclusively on observations and measurements made during the visual inspection.

The dam embankment is likely a homogeneous earth-fill structure. The crest of the embankment has a typical width of 8 feet and a length of 356.5 feet. The crest elevation is set at 604.5 feet above MSL, and the maximum height of the embankment is 30.5 feet above the minimum streambed elevation along the centerline of the dam.

The embankment section is constructed with side slopes of 1V to 2-1/2H upstream and 1V to 1-1/2H downstream. Riprap was not provided for protection of the upstream embankment slopes.

The embankment material was found to be sandy clay with traces of silt. The material would be classified as CL by the Unified Soil Classification System.

Bedrock at the site and within the vicinity is composed of Ordovician age sandstones with minor interbedded limestones. The gently rolling hills adjacent to the site are mantled by a residual silty, fine-grained sand, a weathered product of the bedrock. Alluvial deposits are encountered along the stream courses of the area.

The abutments for the dam are founded in the residual sands, and alluvial deposits form the foundation on which the embankment is placed. The minimal excavation for the spillway, on the west side of dam, has exposed a few thin beds of limestone within the residual sands.

Data is not available to provide a description of the foundation preparation for the dam.

There are two spillways for the No Name 262 reservoir. The service spillway is located at the left abutment of the dam embankment. This spillway consists of a trapezoidal section which has a 5 (five) foot bottom width and side slopes of 1V to 1.5H on the left bank and 1V to 1H on the right bank. A 12-inch reinforced concrete pipe is buried immediately under the trapezoidal section. The spillway discharge channel is also an unlined earth cut section with approximately the same cross section as the spillway crest. The discharge channel joins the natural stream channel near the downstream toe of the dam.

The emergency spillway is an unlined open channel located at the right abutment which has a bottom width of 21 feet and side slopes of 1V to 3H. The spillway discharge channel runs perpendicular to the dam axis and joins a natural depression about 300 feet downstream from the spillway crest.

A sketch showing the relative elevation of the dam crest and the spillway is given as a plate in this report.

No outlet structure is provided at the damsite.

The reservoir at No Name 262 Dam impounds about 35 acre-feet from a drainage of 0.039 square miles.

b. Location

No Name 262 Dam is located on an unnamed tributary of Isum Creek, Jefferson County, Missouri. The nearest community located downstream of the dam is Cedar Hill, Missouri, which is about 3 miles downstream of the lake. The dam and reservoir are shown on Belew Creek Quadrangle Sheet (7.5 minute series) in Section 20, Township 42 North, Range 4 East.

c. Size Classification

According to the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", by the U.S. Department of the Army, Office of the Chief Engineer the dam is classified in the dam size category as being "Small" since its storage is less than 1,000 acre-feet. The dam is also classified as "Small" in dam height category because its height is less than 40 feet. The overall size classification is, accordingly, "Small" in size.

d. Hazard Classification

The dam has been classified as having "High" hazard potential in the National Inventory of Dams, on the basis that in the event of failure of the dam or its appurtenances, excessive damage could occur to downstream property, together with the possibility of the loss of life. Our findings concur with the classification. The estimated damage zone extends three miles downstream of the dam. Within the first one and one-half miles downstream of the dam are four houses and three private road crossings. It appears that these houses are

located at an elevation somewhat higher than the No Name 262 Dam reservoir. The impoundment capacity of the dam is small and, as such, no hazard to the town of Cedar Hill is expected in the event of failure of No Name 262 Dam.

e. Ownership

No Name 262 Dam is owned by Mr. Lambert C. Bequette, P. O. Box 336, Adelanto, California 92301.

f. Purpose of Dam

The purpose of the dam is for recreation and as a water supply for livestock.

g. Design and Construction History

The dam was designed and constructed in 1967 by Norman Goad, of Norman Goad Construction Company. No formal plans and specifications were made for the dam.

h. Normal Operational Procedures

The dam is used to impound water for recreational use and water supply for livestock. Water levels are controlled by the capacity of the spillway, rainfall, evaporation and runoff. There is no operation required at the reservoir, and the lake is kept as full as possible at all times.

### 1.3

a. Drainage Area (Acres):

25

## b. Discharge at Damsite

Estimated experienced maximum flood (cfs): 160  
(Estimated spillway capacity assuming 2 feet of freeboard.)

Estimated ungated spillway capacity  
at maximum pool elevation (cfs): 960

## c. Elevation (Feet above MSL)

Top of dam: 604.5

Spillway crest: 600.0

Minimum streambed elevation at centerline of dam: 574.0

Maximum tailwater: Unknown

d. Reservoir

Length of maximum pool (feet): 640

## e. Storage (Acre-Feet)

Top of dam: 51

## f. Reservoir Surface (Acres)

Top of dam: 6

Spillway crest: 3

g. Dam

Type: Earth Embankment

Length: 356.5 feet

Height (maximum): 30.5 feet

Top width: (Varies) 8 feet (typical)



Side slopes:

Downstream

1V to 1-1/2H

Upstream

1V to 2-1/2H

Zoning:

Unknown

Impervious core:

Unknown

Cutoff:

Unknown

Grout curtain:

Unknown

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel

None

i. Spillway

Type:

Uncontrolled

Length of weir (feet):

Service Spillway

5

Emergency Spillway

21

Crest Elevation (feet above MSL):

Service Spillway

600

Emergency Spillway

601.5

j. Regulating Outlets

None

## SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

### 2.1 Design

Design drawings are not available for the dam or appurtenant structures. The dam was designed and constructed in 1967 by Norman Goad Construction Company of House Springs, Missouri. No drawings nor designs were made for the dam or appurtenant structures.

### 2.2 Construction

No construction data is available for the dam and appurtenant structures.

### 2.3 Operation

No operation data is available for No Name 262 Dam.

## 2.4 Evaluation

### a. Availability

No design drawings, design computations, construction data or operation data is available.

In addition, no pertinent data was available for review of hydrology spillway capacity, flood routing through the reservoir, outlet capacity, slope stability, seepage analysis, or foundation conditions.

### b. Adequacy

The available engineering data is inadequate to aid in evaluating the hydraulic and hydrologic capabilities and stability of the dam for Phase I investigations.

The lack of engineering data did not allow for a definitive review and evaluation. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing and evaluating design, operation, and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history, and sound engineering judgment.

Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency. These seepage and stability analyses should be performed for appropriate loading conditions (including earthquake loads) and made a matter of record.

c. Validity

No valid engineering data is available.

### SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION

#### 3.1 Findings

##### a. General

A visual inspection of No Name 262 Dam was made on October 1, and October 3, 1978. The following persons were present during the inspection:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Disciplines</u>
Yin Au-Yeung	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Project Engineer, Hydraulics and Hydrology
David Bramwell	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Geology
Jon Diebel	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Soils
John Ismert	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Mechanical
Kevin Blume	Consoer, Townsend & Assoc., Ltd.	Civil & Structural

Specific observations are discussed below.

##### b. Dam

The crest of the dam was found to have widths varying from 5 to 8 feet. A large amount of vegetation was observed on the crest, including trees and large brush.

The upstream embankment slope has a heavy vegetative cover. This vegetation again includes trees and heavy brush. One large tree 12 inches in diameter is located near the center of the dam on the upstream slope. No serious water erosion or sloughing was observed on this slope.

The downstream embankment slope appears to be generally unstable. The side slope is between 1V to 1-1.5H. Slumping is prevalent along the entire length of the dam. These slumps generally began near the crest and extend down the slope for heights of between 5 and 10 feet. Some slides extended into the dam crest, helping cause the variation in the crest width. Other slumps were seen in the embankment just above the downstream toe. The slope itself is overgrown with trees and large brush. Some trees were observed to have diameters as large as 18 inches. Minor rodent activity was also observed on the embankment.

Seepage was seen in many areas downstream of the toe of the embankment. A small flow estimated at 0.10 gpm was observed in the spillway discharge channel from seepage through the banks of the channel which runs parallel to the toe of the dam. Moist areas with some ponding water was found all along the downstream toe of the dam. This was most prevalent in the thalweg and from that area toward the right abutment. Some of the slumps on the embankment slope just above the toe of the dam are possibly caused by seepage on the slope, but heavy vegetation and rainfall in the vicinity prior to the inspection made exact determination impossible.

c. Appurtenant Structures

(1) Spillway

The service spillway crest was covered with heavy grass, brush and trees as can be seen in Photo 7 in Appendix A. The 12-inch reinforced concrete pipe was completely clogged with debris and grass. The spillway discharge channel was also covered with heavy vegetation, and exhibited very minor erosion at the bends. The

emergency spillway, which is located on the right abutment of the dam, was also covered with grass and brush, but vegetation was not as thick and dense as those on the service spillway area. It was not felt necessary to provide erosion protection measures for the dam embankment from low spillway flows.

(2) Outlet Works

No outlet works or low level drain are provided at the damsite.

d. Reservoir Area

The water level was at elevation 598.5 above MSL at the time of inspection.

The majority of the reservoir shore in the immediate area of the dam shows no sign of instability. However, there are several slumping and eroded areas at the left bank of the reservoir approximately 250 feet upstream from the service spillway. The slumping area does not appear to be of any danger to the stability of the dam and reservoir, but will increase potential sedimentation problems.

e. Downstream Channel

The downstream natural channel is well defined. Cross section of the channel is trapezoidal with bottom width of 15 feet and side slopes 1V to 2.5H on both sides. Some fallen tree trunks were noted in the channel floor.

### 3.2 Evaluation

The following items were observed which could affect the safety of the dam, or which will require maintenance within a reasonable period of time.

1. The generally unstable condition of the downstream embankment slope demonstrated by the extensive slumping of the embankment materials.
2. The seepage prevalent downstream of the toe of the embankment.
3. Trees and large brush growing on the crest and slopes of the dam embankment.
4. Condition of service and emergency spillways. The spillways are overgrown with brush and trees, and the concrete pipe is filled with debris.



## SECTION 4: OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

### 4.1 Procedures

No set policy or procedure of operation is practiced at this lake and dam. Water levels are kept as high as the spillway, rainfall, evaporation rate, and runoff will permit.

### 4.2 Maintenance of Dam

It is not certain if any maintenance has been performed on the dam or spillway since its construction in 1967. Several items were observed as needing maintenance and correction. All trees and large brush should be cleared from the downstream and upstream slopes. This also holds true for the channels and crests of the service and emergency spillways. The general stability of the downstream slope is questionable, and corrective measures should be taken as soon as possible.

No records are kept concerning maintenance or water levels.

### 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

There are no facilities at the lake which require operation or maintenance. The lake is used for recreational purposes and livestock water supply.

4.4      Description of Any Warning System in Effect

The inspection team is not aware of any existing warning system in effect.

4.5      Evaluation

Based on the condition of the dam at the time of inspection, it appears that the operation and maintenance of the damsite is inadequate. It is the opinion of the inspection team that the corrective measures outlined in Paragraph 4.2 should be implemented as soon as possible.

## SECTION 5: HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

### 5.1 Evaluation of Features

#### a. Design

No hydrologic design data is available.

No Name 262 Dam has a watershed of approximately 25 acres. Land gradients in the watershed average roughly 15 percent. The lake lies on an unnamed tributary of Isum Creek.

Elevations within the watershed range from approximately 590 feet above MSL at the damsite to over 670 feet above MSL in the upper portion of the watershed.

The watershed is approximately 50 percent covered with forest, with the remainder being covered by grass and brush. A drainage map showing the watershed area is included in Appendix B.

Evaluation of the hydraulic and hydrologic features of No Name 262 Dam was based on criteria set forth in the Corps of Engineers' Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and additional guidance provided by the St. Louis District of the Corps of Engineers. The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) was calculated from the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) using the methods outlined in the U.S. Weather Bureau Publication, Hydrometeorological Report No. 33. The probable maximum storm duration was set at 24 hours, and storm rainfall distribution was based on criteria given in

EM 1110-2-1411 (Standard Project Storm). The SCS triangular hydrograph, transformed to a curvilinear hydrograph, was adopted for developing the unit hydrograph. The derived unit hydrograph is presented in Appendix B.

Initial and infiltration loss rates were applied to the PMP to obtain rainfall excesses. The rainfall excesses were then applied to the unit hydrograph to obtain the PMF hydrograph, utilizing the Corps of Engineers' computer program HEC-1 (Dam Safety Version), which was prepared specifically for dam safety analysis. The computed peak discharge of the PMF and one-half of the PMF are 756 cfs and 378 cfs, respectively.

Both the PMF and one-half of the PMF inflow hydrographs were routed through the reservoir by the Modified Puls Method, also utilizing the HEC-1 (Dam Safety Version) computer program. The peak outflow discharges for the PMF and one-half of the PMF are 345 cfs and 147 cfs, respectively. Both the PMF and one-half of the PMF, when routed through the reservoir, resulted in no overtopping of the dam.

The stage-outflow relation for the spillways were prepared from field notes and sketches. The reservoir stage-capacity data were based on the U.S.G.S. quadrangle topographic maps. Reservoir storage capacity included surcharge levels exceeding the top of the dam, and the spillway rating curve assumed that the dam remains intact during routing. In the routing computations, the discharge through the 12-inch R.C.P. was excluded due to its insignificant magnitude as compared to the spillway discharge and the PMF. The spillways and overtop rating curve and the reservoir capacity curve are also presented in Appendix B.

b. Experience Data

No records of reservoir stage or spillway discharge are maintained for this site. However, according to interviews with local residents, the maximum reservoir level was never higher than the crest of the embankment.

c. Visual Observations

No seepage was visible in the areas of the spillways. Both the service spillway and the emergency spillway need extensive clearing of brush and tree growth to maintain adequate hydraulic efficiency for the spillway in case of flood. There are no drawdown facilities to evacuate the reservoir. The spillway and exit channel for both the spillways are located at the furthestmost abutments, and releases from the spillways will not pose danger to the integrity of the dam.

d. Overtopping Potential

As indicated in Section 5.1-a., both the Probable Maximum Flood and one-half of the Probable Maximum Flood, when routed through the reservoir, resulted in no overtopping of the dam. The PMF and one-half of the PMF, when routed through the reservoir has freeboards of 1.09 feet and 2.19 feet, respectively. The spillways of the No Name 262 Dam are capable of passing a flood equal to the PMF with over 1 foot of freeboard. The magnitude of the peak is about eight times larger than a 100-year frequency flood peak. Since one-half of the PMF is the minimum Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for No Name 262 Dam, according to the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams by the Corps, the spillway capacity of the dam is considered "Adequate".

## SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

### 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

#### a. Visual Observations

The downstream embankment slope appears to be unstable. The slope is as steep as 1V to 1H in places, which is not felt to be a satisfactory slope for the material used for the embankment. The prevalent slumping is an indication of an unstable cross-section.

The seepage prevalent downstream of the toe of the dam, and possibly on the embankment slope, is a further condition indicating a potential hazard. Seepage can reduce stability of the downstream slope and/or may lead to piping (internal erosion).

The extensive brush and tree growth present on the crest and side slopes could eventually pose a hazard to the embankment. This heavy vegetation prevents proper inspection of the dam embankment, including identification of moisture and seepage, in addition to the problems inherent with trees allowed to grow on dam embankments.

No sign of structural instability or distress was observed on either spillway. However, there was minor erosion on the bends of the service spillway discharge channel. This area will probably require some reshaping and repair following a major flood.

b. Design and Construction Data

No design or construction data relating to the structural stability of the dam or appurtenant structures was found.

c. Operating Records

No operating records are available relating to the stability of the dam or appurtenant structures. Water levels have not been recorded, however, the reservoir is assumed to be close to full at all times. No operating facilities exist at the damsite.

d. Post Construction Changes

No post construction changes are known which will affect the structural stability of the dam.

e. Seismic Stability

In general projects located in Seismic Zones 0, 1 and 2 can be assumed to present no hazard from earthquake, provided the static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist. No Name 262 Dam is located in Seismic Zone 1. A detailed seismic analysis is not felt to be necessary for this embankment.

## SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

### 7.1 Dam Assessment

The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

It should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team.

It is also important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that an unsafe condition could be detected.

#### a. Safety

The capacity of the spillways of No Name 262 Dam were found to be adequate to safely pass the PMF. However, the overall structural condition of the dam embankment is questionable. The constructed cross-section is not in compliance with what would normally be considered as an acceptable design. The 1V to 1-1/2H downstream slope is very steep, even for a dam 30 feet high. The slopes are generally uneven and irregular, and the crest width varies considerably. The



extensive slumping on the downstream slope is indicative of an unstable slope. No data indicating construction techniques, embankment material or foundation conditions and treatment is available, however, the extensive seepage appearing downstream of the embankment toe indicates that foundation preparation may not have been satisfactory. A stability study should be done by a professional engineer experienced in design and construction of earthfill dams, to determine the stability of the embankment.

The heavy brush and tree growth on the embankment slopes pose a potential hazard to the dam. The extensive tree growth is considered unsatisfactory in terms of dam safety for several reasons: First, trees toppled by wind expose holes that invite rapid erosion, and second, decay of large existing root systems could form channels for eventual piping.

The heavy vegetative growth on the service and emergency spillway crests inhibit the hydraulic efficiency of these structures. Clearing of this vegetation and preventing future growth should be undertaken.

The spillways and the exit channels are located at the two abutments. Low flows through the spillways should not pose danger to the dam embankment.

b. Adequacy of Information

Information concerning the dam and appurtenant structures is not available. It is recommended that the following programs be initiated to help alleviate this problem:

1. Periodic inspection of the dam by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of earthen dams should be made, and this inspection report made a matter of record.
  2. Set up a maintenance schedule and log all visits to the dam for repairs and maintenance.
- c. Urgency

The remedial measures recommended in Paragraph 7.2 should be accomplished in the near future.

The stability analysis of the embankment is of more urgent nature than the other recommended actions.

d. Necessity for Phase II Inspection

Based on results of the Phase I inspection, and if the remedial measures recommended in Paragraph 7.2 are undertaken as soon as possible, a Phase II inspection is not felt to be necessary.

7.2 Remedial Measures

- a. A complete stability study of the dam embankment should be undertaken as soon as possible. This study should evaluate the structural stability of the embankment section under maximum loading conditions. Due to the lack of data available, the study must include some test holes and piezo-meter installations. Information concerning foundation materials, soil properties of the embankment materials, and

data on the phreatic line is necessary to adequately assess the embankments structural stability under all conditions.


b. The crest and embankment slopes, as well as the spillway crests, should be cleared of all trees and large brush. Because of the size and extent of trees, coupled with the apparent instability, clearing should be done under the guidance of a professional engineer. Indiscriminate clearing methods could create an unsafe situation.

c. O & M Maintenance Procedures


The owner should initiate the following programs:

1. Periodic inspection of the dam by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of earthen dams.
2. Set up a maintenance schedule and log all visits to the dam for repairs and maintenance.
3. Clear the heavy vegetative growth from the crests of the service and emergency spillways. The concrete pipe in the service spillway should also be unplugged.

PLATES




LOCATION MAP  
NONAME 262 DAM  
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI



ELEVATION - LOOKING DOWNSTREAM

NONAME 262 DAM  
RELATIVE ELEVATIONS



General Geologic Map

#### Explanation

##### Mississippian System

M<sub>o</sub> - cherty and crinoidal limestone, with some shale.

M<sub>k</sub> - intercalated limestones and shales.

##### Ordovician System

O<sub>mk</sub> - shale and limestone.

O<sub>dp</sub> - shale with thin fossiliferous limestone beds and dense limestone.

O<sub>jd</sub> - dolomite with interbedded limestone, shale, and black limestone.

O<sub>spe</sub> - massive, cross-bedded sandstone; and dolomite, lithographic limestone with interbedded sandstone.

O<sub>jc</sub> - silty and cherty dolomite with oolitic chert.

O<sub>r</sub> - sandstone, chert, and interbedded dolomite.

O<sub>g</sub> - cherty dolomite with a basal sandstone.

Reference: Geologic Map of Missouri, 1961, Division of Geological Survey and Water Resources, State of Missouri.

APPENDIX A  
PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN DURING INSPECTION



NO NAME 262 DAM

- Photo 1 - View along crest of dam embankment taken at left abutment.
- Photo 2 - View along upstream slope of embankment taken at left abutment.
- Photo 3 - View of upstream slope of embankment taken at right abutment.
- Photo 4 - Picture of typical section of downstream embankment slope.
- Photo 5 - Picture of spillway channel at right side of dam looking downstream.
- Photo 6 - Picture of spillway channel at right side of dam looking downstream toward lake.
- Photo 7 - Picture of spillway channel at left side of dam looking downstream.
- Photo 8 - Picture of concrete pipe in spillway channel at left side of dam.
- Photo 9 - Picture of left bank of reservoir. Note sloughing and erosion of bank.
- Photo 10 - Close-up of slumping area at left bank of reservoir.



Photo 1 - View along crest of dam embankment taken at left abutment.



Photo 2 - View along upstream slope of embankment taken at left abutment.



Photo 3 - View of upstream slope of embankment taken at right abutment.



Photo 4 - Picture of typical section of downstream embankment slope.



Photo 5 - Picture of spillway channel at right side of dam looking downstream.



Photo 6 - Picture of spillway channel at right side of dam looking downstream toward lake.



Photo 7 - Picture of spillway channel at left side of dam looking downstream.



Photo 8 - Picture of concrete pipe in spillway channel at left side of dam.




Photo 9 - Picture of left bank of reservoir. Note sloughing and erosion of bank.




Photo 10 - Close-up of slumping area at left bank of reservoir.

APPENDIX B  
HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS



SCALE 1:24,000



CONTOUR INTERVAL 10 FEET  
NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929  
DRAINAGE BOUNDARY — — — — —

NONAME 262 DAM  
DRAINAGE BASIN



# ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI

NO NAME 262 DAM

RESERVOIR AREA CAPACITY DATA

SHEET NO. 1 OF

JOB NO. 1223-001-1

BY KLB DATE 11-16-78

*Lin*


NO NAME 262 DAM

RESERVOIR AREA CAPACITY DATA

ELEVATION FT.	RESERVOIR SURFACE AREA (ACRES)	INCREMENTAL VOLUME (AC-FT)	TOTAL VOLUME (AC-FT)	REMARKS
574	0	-	0	
600	3	31	31**	ASSUMED SPINNAY CREST ELEVATION
604.5	5.8*	19.8	50.8	TOP OF DAM ELEVATION
610	9	40.7	91.5	AREA MEASURED ON U.S.G.S. MAP
620	13	110.0	201.5	AREA MEASURED ON U.S.G.S. MAP

\* INTERPOLATED DATA

\*\* DATA FROM INVENTORY SHEET



NONAME 262 DAM  
RESERVOIR CAPACITY CURVE

# ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI


NO NAME 262 DAM

SPILLWAY AND OVERTOP DISCHARGE CAPACITY


SHEET NO. 1 OF 2

JOB NO. 1223-001

BY ALB DATE 11-30-71



$K_1$	$T_1$	$A_1$	$K_1 = \frac{5.67}{\sqrt{K_1}}$	$K_2 = \frac{Q_1}{A_1 K_1}$	$Q_1 = \frac{4.5 \cdot W.S. \cdot EL}{600 + K_1 + K_2/2}$	$A_{K2}$	$T_2$	$A_{K2} = \frac{5.67}{\sqrt{A_{K2}}}$	$Q_2$	$H_3$	$C_3$	$L_3$	$Q_3$	$Q_T = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3$
1.0	7.5	6.25	5.18	0.92	32	601.42								32
2.0	10.0	15.0	6.74	0.75	104	602.75	0.83	25.78	19.92	4.96	79			203
3.0	12.5	28.25	8.22	1.05	216	604.05	1.70	31.20	40.80	6.48	265			481
3.5	13.75	32.81	8.76	1.19	287	604.69	2.13	33.76	51.12	6.98	357			718
4.0	15.0	40.0	9.26	1.33	370	605.33	2.55	36.30	61.20	7.36	451			1179
4.5	16.25	47.81	9.73	1.47	465	605.97	2.98	38.80	71.52	7.69	550			2692



NONAME 262 DAM  
SPILLWAY & OVERTOP RATING  
CURVE

# ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI

SHEET NO. 1 OF 3

NONAME 262 DAM

JOB NO. 1228-001-1

UNIT HYDROGRAPH PARAMETERS

BY KLB DATE 11-6-78

Lin

1 DRAINAGE AREA = 25 ACRES = 0.039 SQ. MI.

2. LENGTH OF STREAM,  $L = 0.4 \times 2200' / 5280 = 0.152$  MI.

3 DIFFERENCE IN ELEV.,  $\Delta H = 677 - 600 = 77$  FT.

4. TIME OF CONCENTRATION

$$T_c = \left( \frac{11.9 \times L^3}{\Delta H} \right)^{0.385}$$

$$T_c = \left( \frac{11.9 \times 0.152^3}{77} \right)^{0.385}$$

$$T_c = 0.055 \text{ HR}$$

5. LAG TIME,  $L_t = 0.6 \times T_c$

$$L_t = 0.6 \times 0.055 = 0.033 \text{ HR}$$

6. RAINFALL UNIT DURATION

$$D \pm \frac{L_t}{4} = \frac{0.033}{4} = 0.008 \text{ HR}$$

USE  $D = 5 \text{ MIN} = 0.083 \text{ HR}$

MINIMUM DURATION CRITERIA.

7. TIME TO PEAK,  $T_p$

$$T_p = \frac{D}{2} + 0.6 \times T_c$$

$$T_p = \frac{0.083}{2} + 0.6 \times 0.055 = 0.075 \text{ HR}$$

8  $q_p = \frac{484 \times A}{T_p} = \frac{484 \times 0.039}{0.075} = 252 \text{ CFS}$

# ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI

SHEET NO. 2 OF 3

NO NAME 262 DAM

JOB NO. 1223-001-1


UNIT HYDROGRAPH DERIVATION

BY KLB DATE 11-6-78

*lim*

## 9) CURVILINEAR UNIT HYDROGRAPH

TIME $T/T_p$	DISCHARGE RATIO $q/q_p$	UNIT HYDROGRAPH	
		TIME, T (HR)	DISCHARGE (CFS)
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.1	0.015	0.008	3.78
0.2	0.075	0.015	18.90
0.3	0.16	0.023	40.32
0.4	0.28	0.030	70.56
0.5	0.45	0.038	113.40
0.6	0.60	0.045	151.20
0.7	0.77	0.053	194.04
0.8	0.89	0.060	224.28
0.9	0.97	0.068	244.44
1.0	1.00	0.075	252.00
1.1	0.98	0.083	246.96
1.2	0.92	0.090	231.84
1.3	0.84	0.098	211.68
1.4	0.75	0.105	189.00
1.5	0.66	0.113	166.32
1.6	0.56	0.120	141.12
1.8	0.42	0.135	105.84
2.0	0.32	0.150	80.64
2.2	0.24	0.165	60.48
2.4	0.18	0.180	45.36
2.6	0.13	0.195	32.76
2.8	0.098	0.210	24.70
3.0	0.075	0.225	18.90
3.5	0.036	0.263	7.07
4.0	0.018	0.300	4.54
4.5	0.009	0.338	2.27
5.0	0.004	0.375	1.01



NONAME 262 DAM  
5 MINUTE UNIT HYDROGRAPH

# ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION/MISSOURI

NONAME 262 DAM

PROBABLE MAXIMUM STORM (PMS)

SHEET NO. 1 OF 2

JOB NO. 1223-001

BY MAS DATE 11/20/78

Lin

## DETERMINATION OF PMS

1. Determine drainage area of the basin

D. A. = 25 acres = 0.039 sq. mi.

2. Determine PMP Index rainfall:

Location of Centroid of basin:

Long. 90°59'7"; Lat. 38°34'5"

→ PMP for 200 sq. mi. & 24 hrs duration

= 25.5" (from Fig 1, HMR NO 33)


3. Determine basin rainfall in terms of percentage of PMP Index rainfall for various durations:

Location: Long. 90°59'7"; Lat. 38°34'5"

⇒ Zone 7

Duration (Hrs.)	Percent of Index rainfall (%)	Total rainfall (Inches)	Rainfall increments (Inches)	Duration of incre- ment (Hrs.)
6	100	25.5	25.5	6
12	120	30.6	5.1	6
24	130	33.2	2.6	12





25.5"

NONAME 262 DAM  
DETERMINATION OF PMP

ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI

NONAME 262 DAM

100 YEAR FLOOD BY REGRESSION EQUATION

SHEET NO. 1 OF 1

JOB NO. 1223-001-1

BY KLB DATE 11-20-78

Um

NO NAME 262 DAM

100 YEAR FLOOD BY REGRESSION EQUATION

REGRESSION EQUATION FOR 100 YEAR FLOOD FOR

MISSOURI:

$$Q_{100} = 85.1 A^{0.934} S^{-0.02} L^{0.576}$$

WHERE

A = DRAINAGE AREA IN SQ. MI.

S = MAIN CHANNEL SLOPE, FT/MI

(AVG. SLOPE BETWEEN 0.1 L AND 0.85 L,  
L, BEING LENGTH OF MAIN STREAM)

FOR NO NAME 262 DAM

$$A = 0.039 \text{ SQ. MI.}$$

$$S = \frac{648 - 600 \text{ ft}}{0.75 \times 0.152 \text{ mi}} \times \frac{40}{0.11} = 350.88 \text{ ft/mi}$$

$$Q_{100} = 85.1 (0.039)^{0.934} (0.039)^{-0.02} (350.88)^{0.576}$$

$$= \underline{\underline{98 \text{ CFS}}}$$

HEC10B INPUT DATA

*Line*



PREVIEW OF SEQUENCE OF STREAM NETWORK CALCULATIONS

17  
17  
RINDEL HYDROGRAPH AT  
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO  
END OF NETWORK

INFLOW PMF AND ONE-HALF PMF HYDROGRAPHS

QUN DAY= 78/11/80.  
TIME= 18.29.37.

14. SAFETY INSPECTION - DISSENT  
NUTRAMEC 262 CAP  
DEF AND 50 PERCENT DEF DETERMINATION 4.00 RQTING

JOB SPECIFICATION					
NO.	UNIT	LAY	TWIN	METRE	VINT VSTAN
300	5		0	0	0
		TRIPLE	EMPTY	TRACT	
		5	0	0	

```

MULTIPLY:  AVALG48 TO MF 1508-14000
           MFAC= 1  MAFAC= 2  MAFAC= 1
           .50
MULTIPLY:  AVALG48 TO MF 1508-14000
           MFAC= 1  MAFAC= 2  MAFAC= 1
           .50

```

```

.....
SUB=DATA LUNDEF COMMENT=IN
.....
INPUT PHD INTR PRECIPITATION AND RATIOS, INTR SIS OUT
ISTAC ICOMP ITRAC ITRAC JULY JDAY INAME ISTATE IAUTH
17 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
.....

```

INVDL	IMG	TARE	SAP	MICROGRAPH DATA			RATIO	ISAM	LOCAL
1	-1	.00	0.00	INSDA	INSEC	1.00	0.000	0	0
				PRICIP DATA					
SPFC	P-9		16	INP	IN24		0.00	P-9	
0.00	25.50	100.00	100.00	120.00	130.00		0.00	0.00	

	STPMR	CLTRN	MOTUL	FMAJN	SOWMS	WTIDM	STPVL	CNSTL	A19MX	PYTPM
LORDPT	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	.00	0.00	0.00

0. 252. 42. UNIT GRAPH TOTALS 301. CFS OR 1.00 INCHES OVER THE AREA  
1. GIVEN UNIT GRAPH, NUMBERS 3

RYRTUE=	0.00	REFLECTION DATA		RTHRE 1.00
		ORCSNS	0.00	

0		*FNO=UIF=PERIOD FLOW					1						
MO,DA	HR,MIN	PERIOD	RAIN	ETCS	LOSS	COMP 0	MO,DA	HR,MIN	PERIOD	RAIN	ETCS	LOSS	COMP 0
1.01	.05	1	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.35	151	.21	.21	.01	.02.
1.01	.10	2	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.40	152	.21	.21	.01	.02.





1.01	5.15	63	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	17.45	213	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.20	64	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	17.50	214	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.25	65	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	17.55	215	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.30	66	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.00	216	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.35	67	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.05	217	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.40	68	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.10	218	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.45	69	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.15	219	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.50	70	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.20	220	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.55	71	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.25	221	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.60	72	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.30	222	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.65	73	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.35	223	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.70	74	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.40	224	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.75	75	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.45	225	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.80	76	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.50	226	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.85	77	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	18.55	227	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.90	78	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.00	228	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	5.95	79	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.05	229	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.00	80	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.10	230	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.05	81	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.15	231	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.10	82	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.20	232	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.15	83	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.25	233	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.20	84	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.30	234	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.25	85	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.35	235	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.30	86	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.40	236	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.35	87	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.45	237	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.40	88	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.50	238	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.45	89	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	19.55	239	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.50	90	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.00	240	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.55	91	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.05	241	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.60	92	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.10	242	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.65	93	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.15	243	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.70	94	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.20	244	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.75	95	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.25	245	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.80	96	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.30	246	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.85	97	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.35	247	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.90	98	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.40	248	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	6.95	99	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.45	249	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.00	100	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.50	250	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.05	101	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	20.55	251	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.10	102	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.00	252	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.15	103	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.05	253	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.20	104	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.10	254	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.25	105	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.15	255	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.30	106	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.20	256	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.35	107	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.25	257	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.40	108	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.30	258	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.45	109	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.35	259	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.50	110	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.40	260	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.55	111	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.45	261	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.60	112	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.50	262	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.65	113	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	21.55	263	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.70	114	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	22.00	264	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.75	115	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	22.05	265	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.80	116	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	22.10	266	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.85	117	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	22.15	267	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.90	118	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	22.20	268	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	7.95	119	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	22.25	269	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	8.00	120	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	22.30	270	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	8.05	121	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	22.35	271	.25	.23	.01	88
1.01	8.10	122	.01	0.00	.01	0.00	.01	22.40	272	.25	.23	.01	88





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
84

STATUS	WTRCD	BSRPN	PRGCD	NAME	2ND	DAY
ISTAT	ICOMP	ISCON	ISYAP	JPLT	INAME	ISTAGE
17	1	0	0	2	1	0

COLLUS	CLUES	ALC	INTB	LSAME	INPT	TEMP	LASTB
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0

	STAGE	600.0	601.4	602.8	604.1	604.7	605.3
FLOW	0.	32.	203.	481.	600.	719.	2692.

CAPACITY	0.	31.	51.	92.	202.
ELEVATION	574.	600.	605.	610.	620.

COEL	SPALD	CUMM	EXPW	FLFVL	CNCL	CAMEA	EMPL
400.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TYPE	NAME	EXP	NAME
604.5	0.0	0.0	0.0

STATION (NAME)  
17, PIANI, HAYATI

SA11WV1(1b) HD78GUBAM JIJH3N-311-0043

[illegible]

SUMMARY OF PMF AND ONE-HALF PMF FLOOD ROUTING

AND  
DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PIPE) TO REMOVAL FOR MULTIPLE QUANTITIES (COMPUTATIONS  
 PLUMS IN 1000 GPD 1000 SEC NO (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)  
 AREA IN 500 FEET (SQUARE METERS)

MATRIC APPLIED TO PLUMS

OPERATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIO	PLAN	PLAN
					1.00	1.00
HYDROGRAPH AT	17	.04	1	750	1.00	1.00
	(	.103	1	1.31	10.703	10.703
ROUTED TO	17	.103	1	1.31	10.703	10.703
	(	.103	1	0.763	0.763	0.763

NOT FURNISHED TO DDC

# SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1 .....		ELEVATION	INITIAL VALUE	MAXIMUM STOCKAGE	MAXIMUM CFS	MAXIMUM TOP MAY	TIME OF FAILURE
		HEIGHT	IN	AT DAM	OUTFLW	WATERS	HOURS
1.00	603.21	603.21	0.0	12.0	345.0	0.00	0.00
1.50	602.33	602.33	0.0	11.0	117.0	0.00	0.00

DATE  
ILME  
— 88